THE PROGRESS OF PROSTATE CANCER IN PATHWAY LEVEL EXPLORED BY PROTEIN NETWORK WITH GENE EXPRESSION

Fei-Hung Hung, Hung-Wen Chiu Graduate Institution of Biomedical Informatics, Taipei Medical University 250 Wu-Hsing Street, Taipei/Taiwan hwchiu@tmu.edu.tw

ABSTRACT

Biological pathways are the crucial biological mechanisms in living cells. The huge volume of genomics and proteomics data requires computational methods for predicting or reconstructing pathways. Thus, the application of protein-protein interaction (PPI) or gene expression methods is insufficient to discover meaningful pathways. The integration of PPIs and gene profiles is a better approach to uncover the regulation of pathway and must be utilized well. Previous studies on this topic only focus on the gene level or some limited local groups. This study presents an approach to finding potential fragments of active pathways around known pathways between the various stages of diseases. The proposed method used a maximum score-based function that integrates genomics and proteomics information. This method quantified the strength of gene expression change and the degree of protein-protein interactions to illustrate global status as pathway maps. In this study, we use prostate cancer data as an example to explain which potential fragments of pathway co-constructed a pathway map of prostate cancer at different disease statuses. The resulting map shows a possible correspondence between known pathway and cancer-related genes that are not on the known pathway. Comparing distinct status pathway map reveals a global change of different disease states pathway level. The pathway map of different disease statuses can provide more insight in the progress of cancer.

KEY WORDS

Biological Pathway, Cancer-Related Gene, Gene Expression, Maximum Score-based Function

1. Introduction

Bioinformatics has benefitted greatly from advances in computer science and biology laboratory techniques, an era of rapid accumulation of genomic and proteomic information. For example, the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) is one of several public genomic data repositories [1]. The GEO includes 12,211 platforms, 1,024,125 samples, 42,673 series and 3,413 datasets. Computational biology methods can help researchers obtain a better understanding of complex systems (e.g., protein-protein interaction network, regulatory pathways or cancer mechanisms). A signal transduction pathway is a main respondence for extracellular excitement. When signal pathways are involved in activating apoptosis, cell cycle, or proliferation, they have a comprehensive effect on upstream/downstream relationships between interacting proteins/genes. The widely used pathway database is the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). The KEGG is a database that integrates genomic, chemical, and systemic functional information [2]. The KEGG currently includes 275,060 pathways. Researchers can access these online resources easily through their web-based interface.

Early pathway prediction methods, such as PathFinder, were based only on PPIs. PathFinder is a tool for finding potential pathways [3] that maps GO annotations onto the PPI network and applies the association rule method to identify pathways with high confidence. The recall rate is 78% and precision rate is 40%. When researchers investigate the importance of gene regulation, they often used PPIs and gene expression data to reconstruct some simple signaling networks [4-6]. One method, NetSearch, tried to integrate PPI and gene expression [4]. This approach used gene expression data to cluster proteins and scored protein by clustering, and was capable of reconstructing MAPK signal pathways. The recall rate for this approach is 44%, with a precision rate of 24%. Ruth et al. built PathwayOracle Toolkit. This toolkit applies the STRING (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins) method [7] to score PPI data, and then adopts Eppstein's k-shortest algorithm is used for pathway prediction [8]. Some of the methods mentioned above only use PPI, which does not sufficiently represent the entire pathway, and some are limited to reconstructing specific species. Even approaches that consider gene-level data only apply that data for clustering, and fail to exhibit true gene expression values.

Researchers have recently identified many disease markers by analyzing genome-wide and proteomic-wide information. However, investigators have shown that many well-known risk factors may be partial emphases rather than global mechanisms of disease. To identify a marker for more complete performance of disease is a challenging. A subnetwork marker is more reproducible than individual marker genes selected without network information [9]. Most previous methods cannot identify molecular changes and relationships on the environmental side. In the past researches of prostate cancer, Yu *et al.* tested a comprehensive gene expression analysis on 152 human samples and compared with normal neighbouring prostate tissues to confirm an alteration of gene expression in prostate cancer [10]. Chandran *et al.* analyzed Affymetrix oligonucleotide arrays and their results shows that 415 genes are up-regulated and 364 genes are down-regulated in metastatic prostate tumor [11].

Some studies report genes that are not included in published pathways as cancer-related genes [12-15]. Thus, researchers must locate the crux of pathways and their environment and apply gene-wide and protein-wide data to find the relationship between those genes and published pathways. Some activated pathways cut across the published pathways from those cancer-related genes that are not emphasized on the published pathways. Therefore, a significant change in gene level is needed, and the character of cancer can match this requirement. Cancer is strongly associated with defects in signal transduction pathways. In cancer tissue, the function of pathways is uncontrolled and inappropriate. When a gene shows a significant change, an activated pathway across this gene allows researchers to infer a pathway from here, even if they do not know which pathway is activated.

2. Materials and Methods

This study involves the collection of three kinds of data. Protein-protein interaction data is used for network construction. Protein location information prevents an impossible interaction. Finally, gene expression profile reveals the strength of change. All of the proteins/genes used in this study were normalized to a specific symbol using data downloaded from the Uniprot [16].

To construct a PPI network, protein-protein interaction information was collected from the Interologous Interaction Database (I2D) [17]. The data is combined from 6 commonly used PPI databases (BIND, BioGrid, HPRD, INNATEDB, IntAct, and MINT). We filtered out specific PPIs (e.g., experimental or predicted data). The remaining PPIs are non-redundant PPIs and the number exceeds 70,000.

To avoid interactions that do not naturally exist, this study follows the basic protein targeting pathways to remove them. It means that all reactions in the results can really happen in cell. The real reactions happen between cytoplasm and nucleus, cytoplasm and mitochondria, cytoplasm and endoplasmic reticulum, cytoplasm and chloroplast, cytoplasm and peroxisome, endoplasmic reticulum and golgi apparatus, lysosome and golgi apparatus, secretory vesicles and golgi apparatus, plasma membrane and golgi apparatus, plasma membrane and secretory vesicles, plasma membrane and endosome, and lysosome and endosome.

A change on gene expression is applied to locate pathways on the PPI network. More severe changes are needed, and we collected gene expression data of cancer. Many tumors' gene data samples are available in the GEO data set. This study uses prostate cancer (GDS2545), which is a metastatic prostate tumors and primary prostate tumors (Affymetrix Human Genome U95 Version 2 Array) that includes 12,625 identifiers/genes. That study is that normal tissue adjacent to the tumor and normal donor tissue also examined. Specifically, metastasis reflects the most adverse clinical outcome and provides insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying the metastatic process. This dataset is from 18 donor and 64 primary prostate tumor samples. The stages are divided into four stages. The four stages are "Stage 1: normal prostate tissue", "Stage 2: normal prostate adjacent to tumor", "Stage 3: primary prostate tumor" and "Stage 4: metastatic prostate tumor". According the header description of GDS2545, these samples are divided into three groups: "normal prostate adjacent to tumor versus normal prostate tissue", "primary prostate tumor versus normal prostate adjacent to tumor" and "metastatic prostate tumor versus primary prostate tumor". Next, we used a selfdeveloped tool to analyze these three groups based on a function of R package. Stage 1 includes 18 GSMs. Stage 2 includes 63 GSMs. Stage 3 includes 65 GSMs. Stage 4 includes 25 GSMs. Table 1 shows the list of all stages.

Table 1 Classified GSMs from GDS2545

GDS2545: Metastatic prostate cancer (HG-U95A)								
Stage	List of GSMs							
Stage 1	GSM152804,GSM152805,GSM152806,GSM152807,GSM152808,							
	GSM152809,GSM152810,GSM152811,GSM152812,GSM152813,							
	GSM152814,GSM152815,GSM152816,GSM152817,GSM152818,							
	GSM152819,GSM152820,GSM152821							
	GSM153115,GSM153116,GSM153117,GSM153118,GSM153119,							
	GSM153120,GSM153121,GSM153122,GSM153123,GSM153124,							
	GSM153125,GSM153126,GSM153127,GSM153128,GSM153129,							
	GSM153130,GSM153131,GSM153132,GSM153133,GSM153134,							
	GSM153135,GSM153136,GSM153137,GSM153138,GSM153139,							
Stage	GSM153140,GSM153141,GSM153142,GSM153143,GSM153144,							
	GSM153145,GSM153146,GSM153147,GSM153148,GSM153149,							
2	GSM153150,GSM153151,GSM153152,GSM153153,GSM153154,							
	GSM153155,GSM153156,GSM153157,GSM153158,GSM153159,							
	GSM153160,GSM153161,GSM153162,GSM153163,GSM153164,							
	GSM153165,GSM153166,GSM153167,GSM153168,GSM153169,							
	GSM153170,GSM153171,GSM153172,GSM153173,GSM153174,							
	GSM153175,GSM153176,GSM153177							
	GSM152931,GSM152932,GSM152933,GSM152934,GSM152935,							
	GSM152936,GSM152937,GSM152938,GSM152939,GSM152940,							
	GSM152941,GSM152942,GSM152943,GSM152944,GSM152945,							
	GSM152946,GSM152947,GSM152948,GSM152949,GSM152950,							
	GSM152951,GSM152952,GSM152953,GSM152954,GSM152955,							
Stage	GSM152956,GSM152957,GSM152958,GSM152959,GSM152960,							
3	GSM152961,GSM152962,GSM152963,GSM152964,GSM152965,							
5	GSM152966,GSM152967,GSM152968,GSM152969,GSM152970,							
	GSM152971,GSM152972,GSM152973,GSM152974,GSM152975,							
	GSM152976,GSM152977,GSM152978,GSM152979,GSM152980,							
	GSM152981,GSM152982,GSM152983,GSM152984,GSM152985,							
	GSM152986,GSM152987,GSM152988,GSM152989,GSM152990,							
	GSM152991,GSM187524,GSM187525,GSM187526,GSM187527							
	GSM152856,GSM152857,GSM152858,GSM152859,GSM152860,							
Stage	GSM152861,GSM152862,GSM152863,GSM152864,GSM152865,							
4	GSM152866,GSM152867,GSM152868,GSM152869,GSM152870,							
	GSM152871,GSM152872,GSM152873,GSM152874,GSM152875,							
	GSM152876,GSM152877,GSM152878,GSM152879,GSM152880							

The Wilcoxon rank-sum test (also called the Mann–Whitney U test) is applied to identify the expression change of a gene between different statuses. When the p-value is

less than 0.05, the expression change of a gene between two statuses, original status and developed status, is considered to be significant. A gene with a significant change on gene expression is named as a locating gene/point. This is because one or more activated pathway crosses that point in the developed status. Therefore, we should find fixedsearching-depth fragments of pathway from a locating point. We suggest that an activated pathway could exhibit more severe change than inactivated pathways at the same situation. Thus, the strength of change should be calculated. This study uses a parameter GCS (Gene Expression Change Score) to measure the strength of gene expression change. The GCS equation is defined as follows (1):

 $GCS_i = (1 - p_i) \ 100/n \ , if \ n = 0, GCS = 0$

here n_i is the total interaction number of gene *i* on the PPI network (non-loops). To decide the strength of edge between gene *i* and gene *k*, the score equation is defined as *ECS* (Edge Change Score) (2):

$$ECS_{ik} = (GCS_i + GCS_k)/2$$

An *ECS* is the average of two *GCS*s in an interaction. When we determine how to measure the strength of edge's change, the strength of pathway fragment's change in a fixed searching depth could be calculated as *PCS* (Pathway Change Score) (3):

$$PCS = \frac{\sum ECS}{Searching Depth}$$

A *PCS* is the average of all *ECSs* in a merged-fragment subnetwork. A searching-depth x of fragment includes x+1 nodes/genes. To rank the *PCSs*, the highest scores are considered as potential pathway fragments. In the study, we adopt searching-depth 2 because a fragment including at most 5 nodes/genes (length 5) can be created from these results. Results show that every locating point leads to thousands of fragments that include some loops and two-way fragments. All top 5 fragments (non-loops and non-redundancies) were merged into a subnetwork. Merging these subnetworks produces the final results, which is a pathway map. The proposed process involves several scoring and searching steps, as illustrated in Figure 1.

3. Results

This study uses prostate cancer data (KEGG pathway map hsa05215 and GEO GDS2545) to develop and test the proposed method. According to the available data, three groups (A: Stage 1 versus Stage 2, B: Stage 2 versus Stage 3 and C: Stage 3 versus Stage 4) were produced from GDS2545.



Figure 1. Schematic overview of this study.

For the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, if the p-value of the change of gene expression between original status and developed status is less than 0.05, it is considered as significance. The group A contains 1,485 genes with a significant change in gene expression. The group B contains 2,619 genes with a significant change in gene expression. The group C contains 4,380 genes with a significant change in gene expression. For group A, 17 significant protein families can be mapped to the KEGG prostate cancer map. For group B, 24 significant protein families can be mapped to the KEGG prostate cancer map. For group C, 48 significant protein families can be mapped to the KEGG prostate cancer map. Table 2 shows the locating points on the KEGG prostate cancer map at these three groups. The next step calculates the gene expression change score GCS of each point.

To understand which pathway is active, the edge expression change score *ECS* is defined to score the intensity of change in the link/edge between normal and other situations. The number of pathway fragments that need to be scored depends on the degree of interaction. From the distributions of fragment score, rare fragments that pass the locating points have strong reaction.

For merging top-x score fragments, three pathway maps of these groups for prostate cancer are shown in Figure 2. (Pathway map A), Figure 3. (Pathway map B) and Figure 4. (Pathway map C). The top score fragments are calculated based on the pathway change score PCS. Apart from finding the potential pathways of complicity in cancer, this approach also shows the progress of cancer in pathway level. The resulting pathway map shows some interesting results in the hubs of nodes that belong to the KEGG prostate cancer map. Specifically, 7 genes appear as hubs in the pathway map A (AURKA, EPRS, HSPA9, MAP3K7, MLST8, NR2C2, and RAF1). 4 hubs appear in the environment pathway B (CTNNB1, EGFR, FGF2, and PLCG1). Furthermore, 17 hubs appear in the environment pathway C (AKT1, CALCOCO1, CREB3L4, CTNNB1, CTSD, IDE, MLST8, NR2C2, PIK3CG, PPP4C, PRKCD, RAF1, RB1, SFN, SUMO3, TANK and ZBTB17).

4. Conclusion

We proposed a heuristic method to measure the change of pathway expression. We pointed out that only PPIs or gene expressions are not enough for pathway inference. This method could solve the problem to integration of proteinprotein interaction and gene expression. A series of computational test was conducted to show that our algorithm could draw pathways maps with stage status and showed the progress of cancer.

As for group A, pathway map in Figure 2 shows the progress from normal to tumor. AURKA, EPRS, HSPA9, MAP3K7, MLST8, NR2C2, and RAF1 appear on the first pathway map. Among these, AURKA, EPRS, MAPK3K7 and RAF1 could be found on the related page of GeneCards. In the pathway map for group B (see Figure 3), CTNNB1 and EGFR could be found on the related page of GeneCards. In the pathway map for group C (see Figure 4), AKT1, CREB3L4, CTNNB1, PIK3CG, RAF1, RB1 and SFN) could be found on the related page of GeneCards. It proves these genes are associated with prostate cancer to a certain degree. As for other genes that are not show the association to prostate cancer on the GeneCards, they have to be proved by further works.

5. Discussion

Via three result pathway maps, different genes play important roles at different disease stages respectively. The number of genes with "significant gene expression change" grows. The direction is from membrane to nuclear. The proposed method integrates gene expression data and protein-protein interactions for pathway research. This approach uses quantitative identification to find the fragments of activated pathways and construct the neighbourhood around known pathways. This study reveals the role and importance of the neighbourhood around cancer pathways. In the global pathway maps the results show the potential relationships of cancer-related genes that do not appear on the known pathway map. These relationships provide a possible approach to find potential and unknown cancer-related genes.

Acknowledgement

This study was financially supported by NSC 102-2221-E-038-003 of Taiwan.

References

T. Barrett, D. Troup, S. Wilhite, P. Ledoux, C. Evangelista, I. Kim, M. Tomashevsky, K. Marshall, K. Phillippy, P. Sherman, R. Muertter, M. Holko, O. Ayanbule, A. Yefanov & A. Soboleva, NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets—10 years on, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 39(Database issue), 2011, D1005–D1010.
 M. Kanehisa, S. Goto, S. Furumichi, M. Tanabe & M. Hirakawa, KEGG for representation and analysis of molecular

networks involving diseases and drugs, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 38(Database issue), 2010, D355–D360.

[3] G. Bebek & J. Yang, PathFinder: mining signal transduction pathway segments from protein-protein interaction networks, *BMC Bioinformatics*, *8*, 2007, 335–350.

[4] M. Steffen, A. Petti, J. Aach, P. D'haeseleer & G. Church, Automated modelling of signal transduction networks, *BMC Bioinformatics*, *3*, 2002, 34–44.

[5] Y. Liu & H. Zhao, A computational approach for ordering signal transduction pathway components from genomics and proteomics Data, *BMC Bioinformatics*, *5*, 2007, 158.

[6] J. Scott, T. Ideker, R. Karpa & R. Sharan, Efficient algorithms for detecting signaling pathways in protein interaction networks, *J Comput Biol.*, *13*(2), 2006, 133–144.

[7] D. Szklarczyk, A. Franceschini, M. Kuhn, M. Simonovic, A. Roth & P. Minguez, The STRING database in 2011: functional interaction networks of proteins, globally integrated and scored, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, *39*(Database issue), 2010, D561–D568.

[8] D. Ruths, J. Tseng, L. Nakhleh & P. Ram, De Novo Signaling Pathway Predictions Based on Protein-Protein Interaction, Targeted Therapy and Protein Microarray Analysis, *LNCS*, 4532, 2007, 108–118.

[9] H. Chuang, E. Lee, Y. Liu, D. Lee & T. Ideker, Networkbased classification of breast cancer metastasis, *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, *3*, 2007, 140.

[10] YP. Yu, D. Landsittel, L. Jing, J. Nelson, B. Ren, L. Liu, C. McDonald, R. Thomas, R. Dhir, S. Finkelstein, G. Michalopoulos, M. Becich & JH. Luo, Gene expression alterations in prostate cancer predicting tumor aggression and preceding development of malignancy, *J Clin. Oncol.*, *22*(14), 2004, 2790-2779.

[11] UR. Chandran, C. Ma, R. Dhir, M. Bisceglia, M. Lyons-Weiler, W. Liang, G. Michalopoulos, M. Becich & FA. Monzon, Gene expression profiles of prostate cancer reveal involvement of multiple molecular pathways in the metastatic process, *BMC Cancer*, 7(64), 2007.

[12] M. Thomasson, H. Hedman, T. Junttila, K. Elenius, B. Ljungberg & R. Henriksson, ErbB4 is downregulated in renal cell carcinoma–a quantitative RT-PCR and immunohistochemical analysis of the epidermal growth factor receptor family, *Acta Oncol.*, *43*(5), 2004, 453–459.

[13] T. Behbahani, C. Thierse, C. Baumann, D. Holl, P. Bastian, A. von Ruecker, S. M⁻uller, J. Ellinger & S. Hauser, Tyrosine kinase expression profile in clear cell renal cell carcinoma, *World J Urol.*, [Epub ahead of print].

[14] T. Shi, L. Liou, P. Sadhukhan, Z. Duan, A. Novick, J. Hissong, A. Almasan & J. DiDonato, Effects of resveratrol on gene expression in renal cell carcinoma, *Cancer Biol. Ther.*, *3*(9), 2004, 882–888.

[15] J. Copland, B. Luxon, L. Ajani, T. Maity, E. Campagnaro, H. Guo, S. LeGrand, P. Tamboli & C. Wood, Genomic profiling identifies alterations in TGFbeta signaling through loss of TGFbeta receptor expression in human renal cell carcinogenesis and progression, *Oncogene*, *22*(39), 2003, 8053–8062.

[16] M. Magrane & U. Consortium, UniProt Knowledgebase: a hub of integrated protein data, *Database (Oxford), 2011*, 2011, bar009.

[17] K. Brown & I. Jurisica, Unequal evolutionary conservation of human protein interactions in interologous networks, *Genome Biol.*, *8*(5), 2007, R95.

[18] F. Belinky, I. Bahir, G. Stelzer, S. Zimmerman, N. Rosen, N. Nativ, I. Dalah, T. Iny Stein, N. Rappaport, T. Mituyama, M. Safran & D. Lancet, Non-redundant compendium of human ncRNA genes in GeneCards, *Bioinformatics*, 29(2), 2013 255-61.

 Table 2

 The test result of locating points at three groups of GDS2545

Family	Member or Alias Name	Significant	Group A	Significant	Group B	Significant	Group C	
GSTP1	DEN7 FAFES3 GST3 GSTP GSTP1 PL	Significant	p-value	GSTP1	0.000003	GSTP1	0.00000003076	
NKX3-1 BAPX2: NKX3: NKX3: 1 NKX3A								
PTEN	10q23del; BZS; CWS1; DEC; GLM2;					TEP1	0.000001723	
	MHAM; MMAC1; PTEN1; TEP1					TLT I	0.000001725	
GF	EGF, PDGFA, PDGFB, INS, PDGFC_D,	PDGFA	0.00493	IGF1	0.006689	INS ICE1	0.00003993	
	EGFR ERBB1 EGFR1 PDGFRA		0.002864			EGER	0.00002488	
GER	ERBB2, HER2, INSRR, IGF1R, PDGFRB,	ECEDA	0.002001	FGFR1	0.001295	ERBB2	0.000000005907	
GFR	FGFR2	FGFR2		ECED 2	0.0000217	IGF1R	0.0009311	
				FGFK2	0.0000217	FGFR2	0.0000009558	
	PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG,			PIK3CG	0.007083	PIK3CA	0.00005876	
PI3K	PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIK3R3, PIK3R5,					PIK3R1 PIK3C2A	0.000006806	
	PIK3C2A, PIK3C2B, PIK3C2G			PIK3R2	0.0474	PIK3C2A PIK3C2B	0.002192	
PDK1	PDPK1: PDK1: PDPK2: PRO0461	PDPK1	0.02111			PDK1	0.003776	
PKB/Akt	AKT1, AKT2, AKT3			AVT2	0.0142	AKT2	0.0335	
				AK12		AKT3	0.001146	
SRD5A2	SRD5A2, MGC138457		0.00004.6		0.04040			
Grb2	GRB2, ASH; EGFRBP-GRB2; Grb3-3;	GRB2	0.009916	GRB2	0.01819	GRB2	0.00000000001861	
SOS	SOS1 SOS2	8081	0.02208	SOS2	0.02002			
505	HRAS' C-BAS/HAS' C-H-RAS' C-HA-	5051	0.01225	5052	0.02002	HRAS	0 00003995	
Ras	RAS1; CTLO; H-RASIDX; HAMSV;	HRAS		KRAS		KDAS	0.02608	
	HRAS1; KRAS; NRAS; RASH1					KKAS	0.02608	
Raf	BRAF, RAF1, ARAF, ARAF1, CRAF,					ARAF	0.0000000009557	
	PKS2; RAFAI MAD2V1 MEV1 MADVV1 MVV1;		0.02522					
MEK1	PRKMK1,	MAP2K1	0.02323					
MEK 2	MAP2K2, MEK2, MAPKK2, MKK2;	MAP2K2	0.001385	MAP2K2	0.005339	MAP2K2	0.00005548	
WILK2	PRKMK2	MAT 2K2		WIAT 2K2		WIAI 2K2	0.00003540	
ERK	MAPKI, MAPK2, MAPK3, ERK-I; EDK1: EDT2: DDKM1: DDKM2: DDKM2					MAPK1	0.01324	
AR	AR AIS DHTR HUMARA HYSPI KD				0.0032		0.000000000004022	
	NR3C4; SBMA; SMAX1; TFM			AR	0.0002	AR	0.0000000000000000	
HSP	htpG, HSP90A, HSP90B, HSP90B1,					HSP90B1	0.0000000003789	
1151	TRA1, ECGP; GP96; GRP94					1151 7001		
Casp9	CASP9, APAF-3; APAF3; CASPASE-9c;	CASP9	0.04144					
BAD	BAD BBC2 BBC6 BCL2L8					BAD	0.03744	
FKHR	FOXO1. FKH1: FKHR: FOXO1A			FOXO1	0.006002	FOXO1	0.00007959	
n21	CDKN1A, P21, CIP1, CAP20; CDKN1;			CDENIA	0.03011			
p21	MDA-6; SDI1; WAF1; p21CIP1			CDKNIA				
p27	CDKN1B, P27, KIP1, CDKN4, MEN1B;							
MDM2	MEN4; P2/KIP1 MDM2_ACTES: HDMX: hdm2			MDM2	0.0007657			
GSK3	GSK3A GSK3B			GSK3A	0.000428		0.00000000541	
				GSK3B	0.006835	GSK3B		
IKKA	IKBKA, IKKA, CHUK	CHUK	0.00003134			CHUK	0.003317	
IKKB	IKBKB, IKKB	IKBKB	0.03559			IKBKB	0.03504	
IKKG	IKBKG, IKKG, NEMO			IKBKG	0.00163		0.0000000000	
mTOR	MTOR, FRAP, FRAP1; FRAP2; RAFT1;					MTOR	0.000002106	
CREB1	CREB1					CREB1	0.00007958	
CREB2	ATF4. CREB2	ATF4	0.00002567			CILLDI	0.00007750	
CREB3	CREB3, LUMAN; LZIP					CREB3	0.000002061	
CREB5	CREB5; CREBPA					CREB5	0.03238	
CREB3L1	CREB3L1	CEEDALA	0.000000	CREB3L1	0.0002449	CREB3L1	0.002783	
CREB3L2	CREB3L2	CREB3L2	0.003839			CREB3L2	0.003317	
CREB3L3	CREB314							
β- Catenin	CTNNB1, CTNNB; MRD19; armadillo							
IkB	NFKBIA, IKBA; MAD-3; NFKBI	NFKBIA	0.01786					
	NFKB1, RELA, NFKB2, RELB, REL		0.006975			NFKB1	0.00001596	
NFkB		RELB				RELA	0.03504	
	CDK2 n33					KEL CDK2	0.02375	
CDK2 cyclin F	CONE CONE1 CONE2 CYCE2					COR2 CCNE2	0.00003416	
Rb	RB1, RB; pRb; OSRC; pp110; p105-Rb			1			0.00000110	
E2E	E2F1, E2F2, E2F3			ESES	0.01107	E2F2	0.03911	
1.21				E2F2		E2F3	0.00000166	
CBP	EP300, CREBBP, KAT3, CBP, RSTS			TDC2	0.02107	CREBBP	0.02731	
p53 TCE/LEE	1P53, P53, BCC7; LFS1; TRP53			1P53 TCF7L2	0.03197	1P53 TCF7	0.001042	
ICF/LEF	$1 \cup r'$, $1 \cup r' / L 1$, $1 \cup r' / L 2$, $L \in r' 1$,			LEF1	0.0002974	TCF7L2	0.003514	
					5.005055	LEF1	0.03955	
cyclin D1	CCND1, BCL1; D11S287E; PRAD1;	CONDI	0.000001195			COND1	0.001473	
	U21B31	CUNDI				CUNDI		
BCL2	BCL2, PPP1R50		0.00511					
PSA	KLK3, APS; KLK2A1; PSA; HK3	KLK3	0.03711	KLK3	0.04634	HK3	0.04221	



Figure 2. The pathway map A for group A. This map shows the situation from normal to tumor in prostate cancer. The pink ellipses show a node in KEGG prostate cancer pathway map with significant change in gene expression at group A. The orange ellipses show a node in KEGG prostate cancer pathway map without significant change in gene expression at group A, but a hub for pink ellipses in this map.



Figure 3. The pathway map B for group B. This map shows the situation from early prostate tumor to primary prostate tumor. The pink ellipses show a node in KEGG prostate cancer pathway map with significant change in gene expression at group B. The orange ellipses show a node in KEGG prostate cancer pathway map without significant change in gene expression at group B, but a hub for pink ellipses in this map.



Figure 4. The pathway map C for group C. This map shows the situation from primary prostate tumor to metastatic prostate tumor. The pink ellipses show a node in KEGG prostate cancer pathway map with significant change in gene expression at group C. The orange ellipses show a node in KEGG prostate cancer pathway map without significant change in gene expression at group C, but a hub for pink ellipses in this map.